ROOM:	
TIME:	

## District Wyoming Music Festival Official Adjudication Form WIND INSTRUMENT SOLO

WMEA	FORM	B-3

Information Must Be Typed

NAME: **INSTRUMENT:** 

**HIGH SCHOOL ENROLLMENT:** 

**SCHOOL INSTRUCTOR:** 

SCHOOL: **ACCOMPANIST:** CITY:

**YEAR IN SCHOOL:** 

**SELECTION:** 

COMPOSER:

Adjudicator.

- Place the number in the circle for each area that most closely matches the descriptors in the corresponding box.
- Total the scores and affix a division rating based upon the scale at the bottom of the form.
- Please add your comments and suggestions in the large space provided (use back, if necessary) and sign the form.

	5	4	3	2 - 1
TONE	Open focused, full and resonant tone	Characteristic tone most of the time on all parts	Tone color and quality is inconsistent	Basic tonal concepts not present. Consistently thin,
	Consistent color and quality in all ranges and registers	Tone quality is affected by range and volume changes	Tone often fuzzy or pinched	unfocused, forced sound
	Artistic use of vibrato	Vibrato developing nicely	Inconsistent focus and quality in various ranges and volume levels	Changing volume and registers create tone quality problems
INTONTATION	(if applicable) All ranges and registers in	Demonstrates minimal intonation	Mostly accurate, but includes some	
	tune	difficulties	uncorrected pitches	Significant intonation difficulties
	Excellent control and listening skills	Listening skills developing	Listening skills are inconsistent	throughout selection
	Pitch adjustments made instantly	Pitch adjustments usually made quickly	Pitch adjustments inconsistent	Listening skills not developed
RHYTHM	Excellent precision at all times	Errors infrequent and corrected quickly		
	All note values and rhythms are performed accurately	Most note values and rhythms correct	Inconsistent performance of note values and rhythmic patterns	Numerous inaccurate note values and rhythmic passages
		Some problems in technical passages		
INTERPRETATION, MUSICIANSHIP	Stylistically accurate, musical and sensitive performance	Some passages lack musical effect	Style becomes rigid and mechanical at times	Mechanical and lacking musicality
	Expression is natural and highly effective	Style and tempo appropriate most of the time	Style and tempo are not accurate	Style underdeveloped
	Excellent use of dynamics	Very good use of dynamics	Dynamics are inconsistent	Style and tempos are not maintained
	Phrasing is musical and expressive	Most phrasing is natural and uniform	Lacks musical phrasing	Little attention to dynamics and phrasing
TECHNIQUE	Technical facility is excellent	Weaknesses shown in some technical sections	Technical facility lacks consistency causing errors	Complex passages are not accurate
	Articulations are accurate  Flexibility and dexterity	Very good understanding of articulations	Articulations are inconsistent	Articulations correct only some of the time
	exhibited at all times	Flexibility and dexterity are quite good	Complex passages lack clarity	Dexterity and flexibility lacking
OTHER FACTORS	Outstanding literature for age and training	Above average literature for age and training	Average literature for age and training	Below average or unacceptable literature
	Professional approach  Appropriately attired	Inconsistencies in attire and/or formal approach	Approach lacks polish and professionalism	Soloist does not demonstrate appropriate approach to formal performance setting
	Scores provided with numbered measures for the	Scores provided with numbered measure for the adjudicator	Common etiquette often overlooked	
	adjudicator	,	Scores not properly prepared	
Adjudicator				
Comments and				
Suggestions for				
Improvement				

Total Points		
- (		

**RATING COMPUTATION TABLE** 30 - 26 = DIVISION I (SUPERIOR)25 - 21 = DIVISION II (EXCELLENT)

20 – 15 = DIVISION III (GOOD) 14 – 10 = DIVISION IV (FAIR) 9 – 0 = DIVISION V (POOR)

Signature of Adjudicator

Signature of Festival Chairman

DIVISIONAL RATING \_