ROOM:	
TIME:	

District Wyoming Music Festival Official Adjudication Form **ORCHESTRA**

WMFA	FORM	0-

Information Must Be Typed

NAME OF GROUP:

SCHOOL:

Adjudicator,

- Place the number in the circle for each area that most closely matches the descriptors in the corresponding box.
- Total the scores and affix a division rating based upon the scale at the bottom of the form.

 Please add your comments and suggestions in the large space provided (use back, if necessary) and sign the form.

	5	4	3	2 - 1	
TONE	Excellent orchestra sonority	Characteristic tone most of the time on all parts	Tone color and quality is inconsistent between sections	Basic ensemble sound not developed	
	Open, rich, focused, full resonant tone on all parts Consistent color and quality	Tone color and/or quality is affected by range and volume changes	Inconsistent color and quality in various ranges and volume levels	Changing volume and registers create tone quality problems Sound of orchestra is not	
	in all ranges and registers			pleasant	
INTONTATION	Excellent listening skills evident Adjustments made instantly within melodic and harmonic contexts	Listening skills well-developed Problems in certain ranges and/or volume, or in difficult passages Problems usually corrected quickly	Listening skills developing, but numerous intonation problems evident Few problems corrected	Listening skills undeveloped Individual/ensemble problems go uncorrected	
RHYTHM	Nearly all rhythms/note	Occasional rhythmic errors	Rhythmic accuracy is inconsistent		
	values performed correctly Tempos are accurate to	Most errors are quickly corrected	Errors are often repeated and few are corrected	Inaccurate rhythms detract from performance	
	printed score	Tempos vary from printed score, especially in difficult passages	Tempos inconsistent	Tempos inconsistent of balance and blend	
INTERPRETATION	Very musical, sensitive, artistic performance Excellent style in all sections	Meaningful interpretation most of the time Style appropriate	Lacks meaningful interpretation much of the time, with problems in difficult sections	Notes are performed with very little meaningful interpretation Style inconsistent or not obvious	
	Excellent phrasing and use of dynamics and nuance	Good phrasing and dynamics, but lacks nuance	Use of some dynamics and phrasing, but not always musical	Little attention to phrasing and dynamics	
BALANCE, BLEND	Excellent melodic and harmonic balance	Good balance/blend most of the time	Frequent balance and blend problems occur	Little evidence of concept of	
	All sections demonstrate excellent blend to ensemble sonority	Balance/blend problems occur in difficult passages	Musical lines often unclear Few problems are corrected	balance and blend	
		Problems are quickly corrected			
TECHNIQUE	Polished performance Articulation is accurately	Strong performance with lapses of uniformity in difficult sections	Technique is inconsistent, performance lacks polish	Technique is underdeveloped for level of difficulty	
	performed Flexibility and excellent musicianship exhibited by all	Very good articulations Flexibility and musicianship are generally good	Articulation not consistent with printed score	Minimal uniformity in articulation	
OTHER FACTORS	Outstanding literature for age and training Professional approach	Above average literature for age and training	Average literature for age and training	Below average or unacceptable literature	
	Ensemble is appropriately attired	Inconsistencies in attire and/or formal approach	Approach lacks polish and professionalism	Ensemble does not demonstrate appropriate approach to formal performance setting	
	Scores provided with	Scores provided with numbered measure for each adjudicator	Common etiquette often overlooked	Individuals detract from	
	numbered measures for each adjudicator		Scores not properly prepared for adjudicators	performance	
Adjudicator Comments and Suggestions for Improvement					

Total Points		
()	

RATING COMPUTATION TABLE 35 – 31 = DIVISION I (SUPERIOR) 30 – 24 = DIVISION II (EXCELLENT) 23 – 17 = DIVISION III (GOOD) 16 – 11 = DIVISION IV (FAIR)

10 - 0 = DIVISION V (POOR)

Signature of Adjudicator

Signature of Festival Chairman

DIVISIONAL RATING _

Official Adjudication Form

ORCHESTRA

NAME OF ORGANIZATION:							
SCHOOL:	CITY:		SCHO	SCHOOL INSTRUCTOR:			
HIGH SCHOOL ENROLLMENT:							
# OF STUDENTS IN ENSEMBLE:		# BY GRADE L	EVEL	12:	11:	10:	9:
ACCOMPANIST (if any):							

Performance Selections:

TITLE OF SELECTION	COMPOSER
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

TOTAL FEES FOR THIS ENTRY: \$	
Signature of Festival Chairman	